

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,265	08/18/2003		James Edward Angelo	50103-566 1518	
49745	7590	09/15/2006		EXAMINER	
SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY LLC c/o MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP				FALASCO, LOUIS V	
600 13TH S				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096				1773	

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/642,265	ANGELO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Louis Falasco	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
•	— s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-18 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the liderawing(s) is objected to by the liderawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/18/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/642,265

Art Unit: 1773

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Papers Received

- 1. The Information Disclosure Statement filed 08/18/03 is acknowledged.
- 2. The Election filed 08/30/06 is acknowledged.

Claims

3. The claims are: 11-20.

Election/Restriction of Invention

- 4. Claims 11-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Invention. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 08/30/06.
- 5. The claims under consideration are: 19 and 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §102 and 35 U.S.C. §103 Statutory Basis

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1773

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Rejections

6. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over any of: **Conder et al** (US 4105292), **Neudeck** (US 6461003) or **Chen et al** (US 6497925).

Conder et al, Neudeck and Chen et al all teach the embossment stamper of these claims, except an intention as for producing 'landing' and 'data' zone embossments.

Conder et al teaches an embossing stamper, intended for embossing a recording medium, including a stamper comprised of a body with spaced-apart projection zones - illustrated at Fig. 4 and at Fig. 2 having body 8 and embossments 12, also see col. 3 lns 39-41. The embossing means includes a pattern detailed at col. 1 ln 64 to col. 2 ln 4, col. 3 lns 2-7, lns 56-59, col. 4 lns 8, 9.

Neudeck teaches an embossing stamper including a stamper body projections, this includes spaced-apart projected zones – illustrated as item 20 at Figs. 1 and 2

Art Unit: 1773

and as item **120a** at Fig. **3**. The stamper is comprised of a body having a surface for embossing a pattern of recesses, see col. 6 lns 53 to 65, col. 7 lns 19-21.

Chen et al teaches an embossing stamper for magnetic recording medium having an embossing zone pattern detailed at col. 3, lns 3 and 31; col. 4 lns 2,3; "EXAMPLES" section at col. 5 lns 52-54.

As regard intended use of the stamper for the servo, 'landing' and 'data' zone in the preamble and claim body: all of Conder et al, Neudeck and Chen et al teach the stamper with dimensions for embossments within what is required to form the servo, 'landing' and 'data' zones – cf instant specification page 11 lines 24-29 with Conder et al col. 3 lns 2-7, lns 56-59, col. 4 lns 8, 9; with Neudeck col. 7 lns 45-47, col. 8 lns 65-68 and col. 9 lns 31-33 and with Conder et al col. 3 lns 2-7, lns 56-59, col. 4 lns 8, 9. Thus all of Conder et al, Neudeck and Chen et al inherently have the characteristic property required to form servo, 'landing' and 'data' zones. The claiming of a property unidentified in the prior art but appearing inherently present does not necessarily make a claim patentable, a case of anticipation or prima facie obviousness has been established the burden of proof shifts to applicant to show prior art products do not necessarily nor inherently posses the characteristic of the claimed product - see In re

Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

CONCLUSION

The claims are 11 to 20. Only claims 19 and 20 are under consideration

- No claim has been allowed.
- Information Disclosure Statement has been received.

INQUIRES

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis Falasco, PhD whose telephone number is (571)272-1507. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30 - 7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol D. Chaney, PhD can be reached at (571)272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LF 09/06

CAROL CHANEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Carpling